

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,295	05/24/2001		Gianpaolo Barozzi	CISCP678	5183
26541	7590	12/13/2004	EXAMINER		INER
RITTER, L		KAPLAN AE. SUITE D1	SINGH, D	SINGH, DALZID E	
SARATOG				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2633	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/865,295	BAROZZI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Dalzid Singh	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	ay 2001.	·				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 17, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawano (US Patent No. 5,436,750).

Regarding claim 1, Kawano discloses optical repeater transmission system comprising:

at a first intermediate location along said link, separating a portion of an optical signal traveling along said link to form a first measurement optical signal (as shown in Fig. 5, Kawano shows optical splitter (32) to separate portion of optical signal which is measured and compared; as shown in Figs. 1 or 2, Kawano shows plurality of repeaters units, any one of the repeater unit can be considered as a first intermediate location);

detecting said first measurement optical signal to form a first measurement electrical signal (photodiode (42) detect the first measurement optical signal and form a first electrical signal); and

performing error correction decoding on said first measurement electrical signal to generate an indication of correct receipt of data at said first intermediate location (the circuitries in the repeater system decode the measured signal and obtained the indication of correct receipt of data which is the supervisory signal; based on the

Art Unit: 2633

reception of such signal, failure occurrence can be determined; see col. 2, lines 37-55 and col. 4, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 2, as discussed above, and in col. 2, lines 37-55 and col. 4, lines 10-15, Kawano discloses using said indication of correct receipt of data (supervisory signal) at said first location to determine a fault along said link prior to said first intermediate location.

Regarding claim 3, as shown in Fig. 5, Kawano isolating a portion of a particular wavelength component of said optical signal (the wavelength component is isolated by filter (41)).

Regarding claim 4, Kawano further discloses that the system comprise:

at a second location along said link, separating a portion of an optical signal traveling along said link to form a second measurement optical signal (as shown in Figs. 1 or 2, Kawano shows separating the portion of the optical signal);

detecting said second measurement optical signal to form a second measurement electrical signal (on Fig. 5, Kawano shows repeater (R2) detecting second measurement such as (f1 or f2)); and

performing error correction decoding on said second measurement electrical signal to generate an indication of correct receipt of data at said second intermediate location (see col. 4, lines 10-21 and col. 5, lines 38-61).

S. The method of claim 4 further comprising: using said indications of correct receipt of data at said first intermediate location and at said second intermediate location to locate a fault along said link prior to said second intermediate location.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 6, in Fig. 5, Kawano disclose monitoring performance of an optical communication link at an intermediate location along said link, said apparatus comprising:

a coupler that separates a portion of an optical signal traveling along said link (as shown in Fig. 5, repeater (R2) would clearly have coupler (optical splitter) as shown in (R1) in order to split portion of the optical signal);

an optical receiver (PD) that recovers data based on said portion of said optical signal;

an error correction decoding circuit that identifies errors in receipt of said data; and a link verification stage that generates an indication of link operation based on errors identified by said error correction decoding circuit (see col. 4, lines 10-21 and col. 5, lines 38-61; Kawano discloses that the circuitries in repeater (R2) check for indication of supervisory signal and based on that location fault can be determined).

Regarding claim 17, Kawano discloses optical repeater transmission system comprising:

means for separating a portion of an optical signal traveling along said link (as shown in Fig. 5, Kawano shows optical splitter (32) to separate portion of optical signal which is measured and compared; as shown in Figs. 1 or 2, Kawano shows plurality of repeaters units, any one of the repeater unit can be considered as a first intermediate location);

means for recovering data based on said portion of said optical signal (the repeater system, for example, R1, recover the detected optical signal);

Art Unit: 2633

means for identifying errors in receipt of said data; and means for generating an indication of link operation based on errors detected by said error identifying means (the circuitries in the repeater system decode the measured signal and obtained the indication of correct receipt of data which is the supervisory signal; based on the reception of such signal, failure occurrence can be determined; see col. 2, lines 37-55 and col. 4, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Kawano discloses optical repeater transmission system comprising:

means for receiving indications of whether an optical signal is received successfully from a plurality of monitor locations along an optical link; and means for determining a location of said fault to be beyond a last monitor location receiving said optical signal successfully (the circuitries in the repeater system receives indication of whether an optical signal is received successfully from a plurality of monitor locations along an optical link and determine location of fault to be beyond a last monitor location; see col. 2, lines 37-55, col. 4, lines 10-15, and col. 5, lines 6-68 to col. 6, lines 1-10; the repeater unit receives indication of fault such as the presence of supervisory signal and location of fault indicated by repeater unique identification).

Application/Control Number: 09/865,295 Page 6

Art Unit: 2633

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-13, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano (US Patent No. 5,436,750).

Regarding claims 11, 16 and 21, Kawano discloses optical receiver comprising a photodetector circuit that generates an electrical signal based on said portion of said optical signal (in Fig. 5, photodetector (33) receives optical signal which further processed by circuitry inside the repeater unit (R1)). Kawano differs from the claimed invention in that Kawano does not specifically disclose a demodulator that recovers data from said electrical signal. However, in col. 4, lines 57-61, Kawano teaches modulation of the optical signal. It would have been obvious that a demodulator can be incorporated to further recover data or information modulated within the optical signal.

Regarding claim 12, Kawano discloses optical repeater transmission system, as shown in Fig. 5, comprising:

a first link monitor that monitors performance of said link at a first intermediate location along said link (the first link monitor can be considered as (R1)); and

a second link monitor that monitors performance of said link at a second intermediate location along said link (the second link monitor can be considered as (R2)); and

Art Unit: 2633

an error correction decoding circuit that identifies errors in receipt of said data; and a link verification stage that generates an indication of link operation based on errors detected by said error correction decoding circuit (see col. 4, lines 10-21 and col. 5, lines 38-61; Kawano discloses that the circuitries in repeater (R2) check for indication of supervisory signal and based on that location fault can be determined).

Kawano, as shown in Fig. 5, shows optical splitter (32) or a coupler that separates a portion of an optical signal traveling along said link and an optical receiver (circuitries within R1) that recovers data based on said portion of said optical signal, at the first link monitor, and shows optical receiver (circuitries within R2) at the second link monitor and differs from the claimed invention in that Kawano does not show optical coupler at the second link monitor. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to provide an optical coupler at the second link monitor. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do this in order to split part of the optical signal for monitoring and processing.

Regarding claim 13, Kawano discloses that a fault is located based on said indications of link operation from said first link monitor and said second link monitor (see col. 4, lines 10-21 and col. 5, lines 38-61; Kawano discloses that the circuitries in repeater (R2) check for indication of supervisory signal and based on that location fault can be determined).

5. Claims 7-10, 14, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano (US Patent No. 5,436,750) in view of Fujita et al (US Patent No. 6,204,959).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 18, Kawano differs from the claimed invention in that Kawano does not disclose a filter that isolates a particular wavelength component of said portion of said optical signal for input to said optical receiver. However, it is well known to provide optical filter to isolate a particular wavelength. Fujita et al is cited to show such well known concept. In col. 4, lines 40-49, Fujita et al disclose filter to isolate a particular wavelength. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to provide such filter to the system of Kawano. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do such in order to reduce or eliminate noise within the optical signal.

Regarding claim 8, in Fig. 5, Kawano discloses an optical amplifier that boosts portion of said optical signal (in col. 1, lines 54-56, Kawano discloses erbium-doped fiber for amplifying the optical signal).

Regarding claims 9, 10, 15, 19 and 20, as discussed above, Fujita et al further disclose that the filter is a tunable filter (see col. 4, lines 40-49), which be tuned to a selected wavelength component.

6. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano (US Patent No. 5,436,750) in view of Wei et al (US Patent No. 6,515,967).

Regarding claim 1, Kawano discloses optical repeater transmission system and method for monitoring and detecting fault as discussed above and differ from the claimed invention in that Kawano does not specifically disclose that the monitoring and detecting of fault is performed by computer codes or programs stored in a computer-

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/865,295

Art Unit: 2633

readable storage medium. However, it well known that codes can be written to perform various functions. Wei et al is cited to show computer codes or program for detecting faults. In col. 16, lines 27-35, Wei et al disclose computer program stored in a computer-readable storage medium for detecting fault. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide computer program to perform function for the system of Kawano. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do such in order to automate various tasks.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fee et al (US Patent No. 6,785,473) is cited to show WDM network and WDM network device.

Fee et al (US Patent No. 5,914,794) is cited to show method and apparatus for detecting and reporting faults in all-optical communications system.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272--3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DS

December 2, 2004

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER